arXiv:1611.05844v2 [math.RT] 30 Dec 2016

IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF EXOTIC SPRINGER FIBRES

VINOTH NANDAKUMAR, DANIELE ROSSO, AND NEIL SAUNDERS

Abstract. Kato introduced the exotic nilpotent cone to be a substitute for the ordinary nilpotent cone of type C with cleaner properties. Here we describe the irreducible components of exotic Springer fibres (the fibres of the resolution of the exotic nilpotent cone), and prove that they are naturally in bijection with standard bitableaux. As a result, we deduce the existence of an exotic Robinson-Schensted bijection, which is a variant of the type C Robinson-Schensted bijection between pairs of same-shape standard bitableaux and elements of the Weyl group; this bijection will be described explicitly in a sequel. Note that this is in contrast with ordinary type C Springer fibres, where the parametrization of irreducible components, and the resulting geometric Robinson-Schensted bijection, are more complicated.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background and Notation 3
3. Strategy of the Proof 7
4. Calculating Jordan Types 8
5. Proof of Proposition 3.2 and the Main Theorem 14
6. Exotic Type C RS-correspondence 18
7. Further directions 20
References 22

1. Introduction

The Springer Correspondence gives a bijection between the irreducible representations of the Weyl group of a connected reductive algebraic group G, and certain pairs $(\mathcal{O}, \varepsilon)$ comprising a G-orbit on the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and certain simple local systems ε on $\mathcal{O}$. In type A, the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ consists of all nilpotent $n \times n$ matrices, and the G-action (by conjugation) on $\mathcal{N}$ has connected stabilisers, so no non-trivial local systems occur in the Springer Correspondence. In this case, one has a bijection between the G-orbits on $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ and the irreducible representations of S_n, the Weyl group of GL_n, which are parameterised by partitions of n.

The Springer Correspondence in type C, using the ordinary nilpotent cone, is more complicated than that in type A for a number of reasons - the isotropy groups of the orbits are not connected, and one does not obtain a bijection between nilpotent orbits and irreducible representations of the Weyl group of type C. Kato introduced the exotic nilpotent cone $\mathfrak{N}$ to evade these complications, and Kato's exotic Springer correspondence, as constructed in [Katb], does

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L35, 14M15, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. Springer correspondence, Springer fibre, exotic nilpotent cone, symplectic group, standard bitableau, Robinson-Schensted correspondence.

give such a bijection. This relies on the fact that the $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$-orbits on $\mathfrak{N}$ are proven to be in bijection with $\mathcal{Q}_n$, the set of bipartitions of n (and thus also with irreducible representations of the type C Weyl group).

Exotic Springer fibres have also been used by Kato for various geometric constructions. In [Kat09], Kato studies representations of multi-parameter affine Hecke algebras, by using the exotic nilpotent cone (and the equivariant K-theory of its Steinberg variety, following techiques used by Kazhdan, Lusztig and Ginzburg in the case of one-parameter affine Hecke algebras). In particular, the standard modules for these Hecke algebras are realized via the total homology of exotic Springer fibres (as mentioned above, the top homology gives the irreducible representation of the Weyl group). In Corollary 1.24 of [CK11] he establishes a connection between the homology of exotic Springer fibres, and of ordinary Springer fibres in types B and C (see also [Kata], for a purity result).

Following Kato's foundational papers on the exotic nilpotent cone, there has been subsequent work extending various results about the nilpotent cone to the exotic setting. In [AH08], Achar and Henderson conjecturally describe the intersection cohomology of orbit closures in the exotic nilpotent cone; these have since been proven independently by Shoji-Sorlin (see Theorem 5.7 in [SS14]); and by Kato (see Theorem A in [Kat15], Theorem A.1.8 in [Kata] and Remark 5.8 in [SS14]). Achar, Henderson and Sommers make an explicit connection between special pieces for $\mathfrak{N}$ and those for the ordinary nilpotent cone in [AHS11]. The Lusztig-Vogan bijection can also be extended to the exotic nilpotent cone, as shown by the first author in [Nan13]. These results all demonstrate a strong connection between the exotic nilpotent cone and the ordinary nilpotent cone of type C. In some respects, the former has properties which are better than those of the latter; the present work is another example of this.

The main result of this paper is a description and a combinatorial enumeration of the irreducible components of exotic Springer fibres. In [Spa76], Spaltenstein gives an explicit bijection between the irreducible components of Springer fibres in type A and standard tableaux of the corresponding shape. Using his techniques, we will show that the irreducible components of exotic Springer fibres are in bijection with standard Young bitableaux, and explicitly describe an open, dense subset within each component. The fact that the cardinality of these two sets is equal follows from Kato's constructions in [Kat09] and [Katb] for the following reason: the top homology of the exotic Springer fibre has a basis given by the classes of the irreducible components, but it also carries an action of the Weyl group, and is isomorphic to the corresponding irreducible representation. Our proof of this fact has the advantage that it gives an explicit bijection, and is more elementary.

The irreducible components of Springer fibres in type B, C and D were first described by Spaltenstein in Section II.6 of [Spa82], but the combinatorics is quite subtle and involves signed domino tableaux (see also Section 3 of van Leeuwen's thesis [vL] for an exposition, and also the simplified version given by Pietraho in [Pie04]). In [Ste88], Steinberg constructs a geometric Robinson-Schensted correspondence by looking at the irreducible components of the Steinberg variety in two different ways. In type A, this coincides with the classical RS correspondence defined combinatorially using the row bumping algorithm (see [Ste88]), but in types B, C and D, it is quite different, and was computed by van Leeuwen in [vL]. In the exotic setup, we obtain a variant of the RS correspondence in type C; this will be the subject of a sequel to this paper, and is discussed briefly in Section 6. Note that this is different to the exotic Robinson-Schensted correspondence constructed by Henderson and Trapa in [HT12].

Let us now briefly summarize our paper; see Sections 2 and 3 for more detail. The exotic nilpotent cone $\mathfrak{N}$ is the Hilbert nullcone of the $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ representation $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Like the ordinary case, the exotic nilpotent cone has a natural resolution $\pi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{N}} \to \mathfrak{N}$, with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ being a vector bundle over the symplectic flag variety. Given $(v, x) \in \mathfrak{N}$, the exotic Springer fibre $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} = \pi^{-1}(v, x)$. We have:

$$\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} = \{(0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \mid F_i^{\perp} = F_{2n-i}, \dim(F_i) = i,\ v \in F_n,\ x(F_i) \subseteq F_{i-1}\}$$

Given $(v, x) \in \mathfrak{N}$ and a bipartition $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, define the "exotic type" $\mathrm{eType}(v, x) = (\mu, \nu)$ if (v, x) lies in the $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$-orbit indexed by (μ, ν). Define $\mathcal{C}^{T,\circ}_{(v,x)} \subset \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$ to be the subset of all flags $(F_\bullet)$, such that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathrm{eType}(v + F_{n-i}, F_{n-i}^{\perp}/F_{n-i})$ is the bitableau obtained by deleting all entries of T which are larger than i. Our main theorem states that the irreducible components of the exotic Springer fibre $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$ are equidimensional, and are given by the closures $\overline{\mathcal{C}^{T,\circ}_{(v,x)}}$, as T ranges over all standard bitableaux of shape (μ, ν). It should be noted here that that fibre is not the union of the $\mathcal{C}^{T,\circ}_{(v,x)}$ and that taking closures is necessary.

The proof is inductive, and relies on analyzing the projection map $p : \mathcal{C}^{T}_{(v,x)} \to \mathbb{P}(\ker(x))$, given by $p(F_\bullet) = F_1$. The fibres of this projection map are easily seen to be either empty, or isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}^{T'}_{(v+F_1,x|_{F_1^{\perp}/F_1})}$, where T' is obtained from T by removing the box labelled n. The bulk of the proof lies with Proposition 3.2, where we describe the image of the map p; the main theorem essentially follows once we know the dimension of the image, and its irreducibility (since the total space of a fibre bundle with irreducible base and fibres is also irreducible). This strategy is roughly the same as that used by Spaltenstein for type A Springer fibres in [Spa76]; in that case, instead of the exotic type one simply looks at the Jordan type of the nilpotent x on the subspace F_i, and understanding the image of the map p is much easier.

Acknowledgements: We are very much indebted to Anthony Henderson for many helpful suggestions, and N.S. would particularly like to thank him for supporting a research visit to Sydney where part of this work was carried out. We would also like to thank Syu Kato for a useful conversation on this subject. D.R. and V.N. are grateful to the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques and the Fields Institute for sponsoring the workshop on Infinite Dimensional Lie Theory: Algebra, Geometry and Combinatorics, where they discussed these ideas. N.S. is also grateful to the École Polytechnique Férérale de Lausanne and Donna Testerman for supporting this research. V.N. is grateful to the University of Utah (in particular, Peter Trapa), and the University of Sydney (in particular, Ruibin Zhang and Gus Lehrer) for supporting this research.

2. Background and Notation

We let U be an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ and we let $V = U \oplus U^*$. We endow V with a symplectic (i.e. nondegenerate, skew-symmetric, bilinear) form thus:

$$\langle (u, f), (u', f') \rangle := f'(u) - f(u'),\ \text{for } u, u' \in U \text{ and } f, f' \in U^*.$$

If $W \subseteq V$, we denote by $W^{\perp}$ its perpendicular space with respect to the form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$.

We define the symplectic group as the group of invertible linear transformations of V preserving the form

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} = \mathrm{Sp}(V) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle,\ \forall v, w \in V\}$$

and we identify its Lie algebra as follows

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{2n} := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}) = \{x \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid \langle xv, w\rangle + \langle v, xw\rangle = 0,\ \forall v, w \in V\}.$$

The adjoint action of Sp_{2n} on $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ is the restriction of the Sp_{2n}-action on $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n} = \mathrm{End}(V)$ given by conjugation. This action gives a direct sum decomposition of Sp_{2n}-modules $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} \oplus \mathcal{S}$.

We can also describe $\mathcal{S}$ directly as

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid \langle xv, w\rangle - \langle v, xw\rangle = 0,\ \forall v, w \in V\}.$$

Finally we let $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}) := \{x \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid x^k = 0,\ \text{for some } k\}$ be the *nilpotent cone* of GL_{2n}.

Definition 2.1. The *exotic nilpotent cone* is the (singular) variety $\mathfrak{N} := V \times (\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}))$.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}(V)$ the variety of *complete symplectic flags* in V, that is $F_\bullet \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ is a sequence of subspaces

$$F_\bullet = (0 = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \cdots F_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_{2n-1} \subseteq F_{2n} = V)$$

such that $\dim(F_i) = i$ and $F_i^\perp = F_{2n-i}$.

There is a resolution of singularities

$$\pi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{N}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{N} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}} = \{(F_\bullet, (v, x)) \in \mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathfrak{N} \mid v \in F_n,\ x(F_i) \subseteq F_{i-1}\ \forall i = 0, \ldots, 2n\}$$

given by the projection

$$\pi(F_\bullet, (v, x)) = (v, x).$$

Notice that the map π is equivariant for the natural diagonal action of Sp_{2n} on both $\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ with the explicit action on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ given by $g \cdot (F_\bullet, v, x) = (gF_\bullet, gv, gxg^{-1})$.

A *partition* of n is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of nonnegative integers with $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$. We denote it by $\lambda \vdash n$ or $|\lambda| = n$. The *length* of a partition λ, denoted by $\ell(\lambda)$ is the number of nonzero parts of λ. If we have two partitions μ, ν, we can define new partitions $\mu + \nu = (\mu_1 + \nu_1, \ldots, \mu_s + \nu_s)$, and $\mu \cup \nu$ which is the unique partition obtained by reordering the sequence $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s)$ to make it nondecreasing. A *bipartition* of n is a pair (μ, ν) of partitions such that $|\mu| + |\nu| = n$. We denote the set of all bipartitions of n by $\mathcal{Q}_n$.

The set $\mathcal{Q}_n$ is important for us because of the following result.

Theorem 2.2 ([AH08, Thm 6.1])**.** *The orbits of* Sp_{2n} *on* $\mathfrak{N}$ *are in bijection with* $\mathcal{Q}_n$.

More precisely, following Section 2 and Section 6 of [AH08] we can say that, given a bipartition $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, the corresponding orbit $\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ contains the point (v, x) if and only if there is a 'normal' basis of V given by

$$\{v_{ij}, v_{ij}^* \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq \ell(\mu + \nu), 1 \leq j \leq (\mu + \nu)_i = \lambda_i\},$$

with $\langle v_{ij}, v_{i'j'}^*\rangle = \delta_{i,i'}\delta_{j,j'}$, $v = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} v_{i,\mu_i}$ and such that the action of x on this basis is as follows:

$$xv_{ij} = \begin{cases} v_{i,j-1} & \text{if} \quad j \geq 2 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad j = 1 \end{cases} \qquad xv_{ij}^* = \begin{cases} v_{i,j+1}^* & \text{if} \quad j \leq \mu_i + \nu_i - 1 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad j = \mu_i + \nu_i \end{cases},$$

in particular the Jordan type of x is $(\mu + \nu) \cup (\mu + \nu)$.

Definition 2.3. If x is a nilpotent transformation on a vector space W, we denote by $\mathrm{Type}(x, W)$ the Jordan type of x, which is a partition of $\dim(W)$. If $(v, x) \in \mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ as defined above, we say that the bipartition (μ, ν) is the *exotic Jordan type* of (v, x) and we denote it by $\mathrm{eType}(v, x) = (\mu, \nu)$.

Associated to a partition λ there is a Young diagram consisting of λ_i boxes on row i. We say that λ is the *shape* of the diagram. In the same way, a bipartition gives a pair of diagrams.

Example 2.4. Consider the bipartition $(\mu, \nu) = ((3, 1), (2, 2, 1))$, and a point $(v, x) \in \mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$. Then we can represent the 'normal' basis as



with the action of x given by moving one block left (and zero if there is nothing further left), and $v = v_{13} + v_{21}$ is given by the sum of the boxes just left of the dividing wall on the upper half of the diagram. Notice that the wall divides the two diagrams corresponding to the partitions that form the bipartition (the one on the left of the wall is facing backwards) and that the bipartition is repeated twice.

Given a Young diagram, we can fill the boxes with positive integers to obtain a Young tableau. We call a Young tableau, of shape $\lambda \vdash n$, *standard* if it contains the integers $1, \ldots, n$ and it is strictly increasing along rows and down columns. For a bipartition (μ^1, μ^2), a *bitableau* of shape (μ^1, μ^2) is a pair (T^1, T^2) where T^i is a tableau of shape μ^i, $i = 1, 2$. A bitableau of shape (μ, ν) is standard if it contains the integers $1, 2, \ldots, |\mu| + |\nu|$ and each tableau is increasing along rows and down columns. To match the conventions used in [AH08], we will actually reverse left-to-right the first tableau of a bitableau so that both tableaux increase as they get farther from the centre. We denote the set of all *standard bitableaux* of shape (μ, ν) by $\mathcal{T}(\mu, \nu)$.

Example 2.5. The following is a standard bitableau of shape $((3, 1), (2, 2, 1))$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 8 & 4 & 1 \\ \hline \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & 3 \\ \cline{3-3}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 5 \\ \hline 6 & 9 \\ \hline 7 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\right)$$

Remark 2.6. A standard bitableau of shape (μ, ν) is the same thing as a *nested* sequence of bipartitions ending at (μ, ν) i.e. a sequence of bipartitions

$$(\varnothing, \varnothing), (\mu^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}), \ldots, (\mu^{(s)}, \nu^{(s)}) = (\mu, \nu)$$

such that $(\mu^{(i+1)}, \nu^{(i+1)})$ is obtained from $(\mu^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)})$ by adding one box (increasing one of the parts by one) either on the left or on the right tableau. The identification is given by tracing the order in which the boxes are added according to the increasing sequence of numbers $1, 2, \ldots, |\mu| + |\nu|$.

Example 2.7. The standard bitableau $\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 3 & 2 \\ \hline \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & 5 \\ \cline{2-2}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$ corresponds to the nested sequence

$$(\varnothing, \varnothing), (\varnothing, \ydiagram{1}), (\ydiagram{1}, \ydiagram{1}), (\ydiagram{2}, \ydiagram{1}), \left(\ydiagram{2}, \ydiagram{1,1}\right), \left(\ydiagram{2,1}, \ydiagram{1,1}\right).$$

Definition 2.8. Given $(v,x) \in \mathfrak{N}$, we consider the *exotic Springer fibre*

$$\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} := \pi^{-1}(v,x) = \{F_\bullet = (0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_{2n} = V \mid F_i^\perp = F_{2n-i},\ v \in F_n,\ x(F_i) \subseteq F_{i-1}\}.$$

It is clear that if $\mathrm{eType}(v,x) = \mathrm{eType}(v',x')$, then there is an isomorphism of varieties $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} \cong \mathcal{C}_{(v',x')}$ given by the Sp_{2n}-action.

Let $(v,x) \in \mathfrak{N}$, if $F_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$, for all $i = 0,\dots,n$, since F_i and $F_i^\perp = F_{2n-i}$ are invariant under x, we can consider the restriction of x to $F_i^\perp/F_i$, which is a vector space of dimension $2(n-i)$. Furthermore, it can be easily verified that $x \in \mathcal{N}(F_i^\perp/F_i) \cap \mathcal{S}(F_i^\perp/F_i)$.

Definition 2.9. Let $(v,x) \in \mathfrak{N}$, $\mathrm{eType}(v,x) = (\mu,\nu)$, and let $T \in \mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)$. Define the following maps, and the subsets $\mathcal{C}^T_{(v,x)} \subset \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$:

$$\Phi^i : \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} \to \mathcal{Q}_{n-i} \qquad F_\bullet \mapsto \mathrm{eType}\left(v + F_i, x|_{F_i^\perp/F_i}\right);$$

$$\Phi : \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} \to \prod_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{Q}_i \qquad F_\bullet \mapsto \left(\Phi^n(F_\bullet), \dots, \Phi^0(F_\bullet)\right);$$

$$\mathcal{C}^T_{(v,x)} := \overline{\Phi^{-1}(T)}.$$

Definition 2.10. Let (μ,ν) be a bipartition (with $\mu + \nu = \lambda$), define

$$b(\mu,\nu) := 2N(\lambda) + |\nu|, \text{ where } N(\lambda) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\lambda_i$$

Remark 2.11. The quantity $N(\lambda)$ is the dimension of the irreducible components of the Springer fibre in type A corresponding to the partition λ.

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 2.12. *Let $(v,x) \in \mathfrak{N}$, with $\mathrm{eType}(v,x) = (\mu,\nu)$, where $(\mu,\nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$. Then the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} = \pi^{-1}(v,x)$ are precisely:*

$$\{\mathcal{C}^T_{(v,x)} \mid T \in \mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)\}.$$

They all have the same dimension $b(\mu,\nu)$.

In the case of the Springer fibres for groups of type A, the appropriate analogue of the map Φ from Definition 2.9 gives an increasing sequence of partitions, which is equivalent to a standard tableau. Unfortunately in our case it is not true in general that the image of Φ consists of nested sequences of bipartitions (i.e. standard bitableaux), as the following example shows.

Example 2.13. Take $n = 2$, and consider the bipartition $(\mu,\nu) = ((1,1),\varnothing) = \left(\ydiagram{1,1}, \varnothing\right)$. According to Definition 2.3, if $(v,x) \in \mathcal{C}_{(\mu,\nu)}$, then $x = 0$ and $v \neq 0$. Now, we let $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v$, and we let F_2 be any 2-dimensional subspace of V such that $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_1^\perp$. We then have $(F_\bullet, v, x) \in \mathcal{C}_{v,x}$. To compute the image under the map Φ the cases of Φ^0 and Φ^2 are trivial, so we need to consider what is the bipartition of 1 given by $\Phi^1(F_\bullet)$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{eType}\left(v + F_1, x|_{F_1^\perp/F_1}\right) = (\varnothing, 1)$ because $v \in F_1$ so $v + F_1 = 0$ in $F_1^\perp/F_1$. Hence we get the sequence

$$(\varnothing,\varnothing), (\varnothing,(1)), ((1,1),\varnothing)$$

or equivalenty

$$(\varnothing,\varnothing),\ (\varnothing,\ydiagram{1}),\ \left(\ydiagram{1,1},\varnothing\right)$$

which is not nested.

3. Strategy of the Proof

To prove our main theorem, which describes the irreducible components of the varieties $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$, we will use some properties of the map Φ from Definition 2.9. We already know from Example 2.13 that the image of Φ does not consist excusively of standard bitableaux (i.e. nested sequences of bipartitions), but it does give standard bitableaux in most cases. In fact those are the only cases we need, as the following proposition tells us. The proof of the main theorem, which will be given in Section 5, will essentially follow from the following fact (combined with the observation that $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$ is the union of the $\mathcal{C}^T_{(v,x)}$, c.f. Remark 5.1).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\mu,\nu)\in\mathcal{Q}_n$, let T be a standard bitableau of shape (μ,ν) and let $(v,x)\in\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(T)$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$ of dimension $b(\mu,\nu)$.*

Proof. We will prove this proposition by induction on n. For $n=0$, the statement is trivial (all the varieties involved are one single point), so now assume that $n\geq 1$. Fix (μ,ν), T and (v,x) as in the statement. We consider the projection

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p:\Phi^{-1}(T) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\ker(x)\cap(\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp);\\ F_\bullet & \mapsto & F_1. \end{array}$$

Let T' be the standard bitableau obtained from T by removing the box with the number n, and let $(\mu',\nu')\in\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}$ be the shape of T'. Notice that $(\mu',\nu')=\Phi^1(F_\bullet)=\mathrm{eType}\left(v+F_1,x|_{F_1^\perp/F_1}\right)$. Observe that

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu')}:=\operatorname{im}p=\{F_1\subset\ker(x)\cap(\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp\mid \mathrm{eType}(v+F_1,x_{|F_1^\perp/F_1})=(\mu',\nu')\,\}.$$

For any $F_1\in\operatorname{im}p$, we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-1}(F_1)&=\{F'_\bullet\in\Phi^{-1}(T)\mid F'_1=F_1\}\\ &\overset{\alpha}{\cong}\left\{\overline{F}_\bullet\in\mathcal{C}_{\left(v+F_1,x_{|F_1^\perp/F_1}\right)}\subseteq\mathcal{F}(F_1^\perp/F_1)\mid(\Phi^{n-1}(\overline{F}_\bullet),\dots,\Phi^0(\overline{F}_\bullet))=T'\right\} \end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where the isomorphism α is given by

$$\alpha(F'_0,F'_1,\dots,F'_{2n-1},F'_{2n})=(\overline{F}_0,\overline{F}_1,\dots,\overline{F}_{2n-3},\overline{F}_{2n-2});\quad \overline{F}_i=F'_{i+1}/F_1\quad\forall i=0,\dots,2n-2.$$

In conclusion, the map p is a fibre bundle, and from (2), it follows that the fibres are $p^{-1}(F_1)\cong\Phi^{-1}(T')$, which by inductive hypothesis is an irreducible variety of dimension $b(\mu',\nu')$. Thus the proof will be a consequence of the following result. □

Proposition 3.2. *For all $(\mu,\nu)\in\mathcal{Q}_n$ and for all $(\mu',\nu')\in\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}$ such that (μ',ν') is obtained from (μ,ν) by removing one box, the variety $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu')}$ is irreducible of dimension $b(\mu,\nu)-b(\mu',\nu')$.*

The proof of Proposition 3.2 will be given in Section 5 of the paper. The following result, which combines [Tra09, Thm 1 and Cor 1] and [AH08, Thm 6.1] will be very useful for us in this regard.

Theorem 3.3 (Travkin, Achar-Henderson)**.** *Let* $(v,x) \in \mathfrak{N}(W)$*, then* $\mathrm{eType}(v,x) = (\mu,\nu)$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Type}(x,W) &= (\mu_1+\nu_1, \mu_1+\nu_1, \mu_2+\nu_2, \mu_2+\nu_2, \ldots) \quad \textit{and} \\ \mathrm{Type}(x, W/(\mathbb{C}[x]v)) &= (\mu_1+\nu_1, \mu_2+\nu_1, \mu_2+\nu_2, \mu_3+\nu_2, \ldots). \end{aligned}$$

We want to apply this theorem to the space $W = F_1^\perp/F_1$, so we will need to calculate the Jordan types of the induced nilpotent on the spaces

$$F_1^\perp/F_1 \text{ and } (F_1^\perp/F_1)/((\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)/F_1) = F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1).$$

4. Calculating Jordan Types

Recall that V is a $2n$-dimensional symplectic vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, as defined in Section 2. Throughout this section we fix $(v,x) \in \mathfrak{N}$, such that $\mathrm{eType}(v,x) = (\mu,\nu)$ where $(\mu,\nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ is a bipartition of n. In particular this means that $\mathrm{Type}(x,V) = \lambda \cup \lambda$ where $\lambda := \mu + \nu$.

Whenever we have an x-invariant subspace $W \subset V$, by abuse of notation we will often denote the induced linear transformations $x|_W$ and $x|_{V/W}$ also by x. It should be clear at all times which vector space we are working with.

We start by giving two general lemmas regarding the Jordan types of induced nilpotent endomorphisms on hyperplanes and on quotients by lines. The proofs of these lemmas can be found in [Spa76] or [vL00, Prop. 1.4].

Lemma 4.1. *Given a nilpotent endomorphism x of V with Jordan type λ, and a 1-dimensional subspace L with $L \subseteq \ker(x)$, suppose that j is maximal such that $L \subseteq ker(x) \cap im(x^{j-1})$. Then the Jordan type of x on V/L is the partition obtained by removing the corner box at the bottom of the j-th column.*

Lemma 4.2. *Given a nilpotent endomorphism x of V with Jordan type λ, and a codimension 1 subspace W with $W \supseteq im(x)$, suppose that j is maximal such that $W \supseteq im(x) + \ker(x^{j-1})$. Then the Jordan type of x on W is the partition obtained by removing the corner box at the bottom of the j-th column.*

We fix a Jordan 'normal' basis for x on V as in Section 2

$$\{v_{ij}, v_{ij}^* \,|\, 1 \le i \le \ell(\mu+\nu), 1 \le j \le (\mu+\nu)_i = \lambda_i\},$$

and we write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} v_{i,\mu_i},$$

which, in terms of the diagram in Example 2.4 is the vertical sum of the boxes immediately to the left of the dividing wall. Let $F_1 \in \mathbb{P}(\ker(x) \cap (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp$ be a one-dimensional space, we write $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$, where

$$0 \neq v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{1,1} + \ldots + \alpha_{\ell(\lambda)} v_{\ell(\lambda),1} + \beta_1 v^*_{1,\ell(\lambda)} + \ldots + \beta_{\ell(\lambda)} v^*_{\ell(\lambda),\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}. \tag{3}$$

Definition 4.3. In (3), let m be the largest integer such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ or $\beta_i \neq 0$ and we define three sets of indices:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_m &= \{1 \le i \le \ell(\lambda) \,|\, \lambda_i = \lambda_m\}, \\ \Gamma_m &= \{1 \le i \le \ell(\lambda) \,|\, \mu_i = \mu_m\}, \\ \Delta_m &= \{1 \le i \le \ell(\lambda) \,|\, \nu_i = \nu_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

We adopt the convention that if $m > \ell(\lambda)$, then $\Lambda_m = \Gamma_m = \Delta_m = \varnothing$.

Example 4.4. Let $\mu = (3^3, 2)$, $\nu = (3, 2^2, 1^2)$, $\lambda = (6, 5^2, 3, 1)$. Here $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\Gamma_4 = \{4\}$, $\Gamma_5 = \{5\}$, while $\Delta_1 = \{1\}$, $\Delta_2 = \Delta_3 = \{2, 3\}$, $\Delta_4 = \Delta_5 = \{4, 5\}$.

Definition 4.5. For m maximal such that either $\alpha_i \neq 0$ or $\beta_i \neq 0$ as in Definition 4.3, we define $\overline{m} = \max \Lambda_m$.

Remark 4.6. Let λ^{tr} be the transpose partition of λ, defined by the property that $\lambda_i^{tr} = |\{j \mid \lambda_j \geq i\}|$. It is worth noting here that $\lambda^{tr}_{\lambda_m} = \overline{m}$.

Remark 4.7. If v_1 is as in (3), notice that, for all $r \geq 1$,

$$\langle v_1, x^r v \rangle = \langle x^r v_1, v \rangle = \langle 0, v \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle v_1, v \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \alpha_i v_{i,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \beta_i v^*_{i,\lambda_i}, \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} v_{i,\mu_i} \right\rangle = - \sum_{i \mid \lambda_i = \mu_i} \beta_i.$$

It follows that $v_1 \in (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp$ if and only if $\sum_{i \mid \nu_i = 0,\ \mu_i > 0} \beta_i = 0$.

4.1. Jordan Type of x on $V_1^\perp/V_1$: maximal m.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$ with v_1 as in (3), and $m, \overline{m}$ as in Definition 4.5. Then the Jordan type of x on $F_1^\perp/F_1$ is the duplicated partition $\lambda' \cup \lambda'$ where λ' is obtained from λ by decreasing $\lambda_{\overline{m}}$ by 1. That is, comparing λ with λ' we have*

$$\lambda'_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_i & for \quad i \neq \overline{m}, \\ \lambda_{\overline{m}} - 1 & for \quad i = \overline{m}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 we may calculate $\mathrm{Type}(x, V/F_1)$. This is given by the maximal k such that F_1 is contained in $x^{k-1}(V)$, whence the last box in the k-th column of the duplicated partition $\lambda \cup \lambda$ is removed. Here, by definition of m, is it easily seen that $F_1 \subseteq x^{\lambda_m - 1}(V)$ but $F_1 \not\subseteq x^{\lambda_m}(V)$. Therefore $\mathrm{Type}(x, V/F_1) = \lambda \cup \lambda'$.

We now calculate $\mathrm{Type}(x, F_1^\perp/F_1)$. By Lemma 4.2, this is given by the maximal l such that $F_1^\perp/F_1 \supset \ker(x^{l-1}_{|V/F_1})$, whence the last box in the l-th row of the partition $\lambda \cup \lambda'$ is removed. Translating this back to a condition on F_1, we deduce that we require the maximal l such that $F_1^\perp \supseteq x^{l-1}(F_1)$. It is clear that any vector that maps onto v_1 by x is contained in $F_1^\perp$, so we just need to consider the kernels of powers of x. Again by definition of m, we see that $F_1^\perp \supset \ker(x^{\lambda_m - 1})$ but $F_1^\perp \not\supset \ker(x^{\lambda_m})$. Therefore the Jordan type of x on $F_1^\perp/F_1$ is $\lambda' \cup \lambda'$. □

4.2. Jordan type of x on $V/\mathbb{C}[x]v$.

We have $V = U \oplus U^*$, where $\mathbb{C}[x]v \subseteq U$ and so $V/\mathbb{C}[x]v \cong U/\mathbb{C}[x]v \oplus U^*$. Lemma 2.5 from [AH08] gives us a Jordan basis for x on $U/\mathbb{C}[x]v$.

Lemma 4.9. [AH08, Lemma 2.5] *The Jordan type of x on $U/\mathbb{C}[x]v$ is $(\mu_2 + \nu_1, \mu_3 + \nu_2, \ldots)$ and so the Jordan type of x on $V/\mathbb{C}[x]v$ is $\rho = (\mu_1 + \nu_1, \mu_2 + \nu_1, \mu_2 + \nu_2, \mu_3 + \nu_2, \ldots)$.*

Remember that to use Theorem 3.3, we want to find the Jordan type of x on $F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$. To answer this question we will first compute $\mathrm{Type}(x, V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1))$ starting from $\mathrm{Type}(x, V/\mathbb{C}[x]v)$, from that we will then be able to compute $\mathrm{Type}(x, F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1))$.

4.3. **Jordan type on $V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1)$: maximal k.** As in Lemma 4.9, we let $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots) = \mathrm{Type}(x, V/\mathbb{C}[x]v)$. We then have, for all $i \geq 1$

$$\rho_{2i} = \mu_{i+1} + \nu_i \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2i-1} = \mu_i + \nu_i.$$

Remark 4.10. If F_1 is contained in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$, then $V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1) \cong V/\mathbb{C}[x]v$ and $\mathrm{Type}(x, V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1)) = \mathrm{Type}(x, V/\mathbb{C}[x]v) = \rho$. So we will now assume for the rest of this subsection that $F_1 \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]v$.

If $F_1 \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]v$, since $V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$ is a quotient of $V/\mathbb{C}[x]v$ by the 1-dimensional subspace $(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)/\mathbb{C}[x]v$, we can use Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.11. *If $F_1 \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]v$, the Jordan type of x on $V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1)$ is determined by the maximum k such that $F_1 \subset \ker(x) \cap (\mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v)$. It is obtained from $\rho = \mathrm{Type}(x, V/\mathbb{C}[x]v)$, by deleting the last box in the bottom of the k-th column.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we require the maximal k such that

$$(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)/\mathbb{C}[x]v \subset \ker(x_{|V/\mathbb{C}[x]v}) \cap \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}_{|V/\mathbb{C}[x]v}).$$

Since $\ker(x_{|V/\mathbb{C}[x]v}) = x^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ and $\mathrm{im}(x^i_{|V/\mathbb{C}[x]v}) = \mathrm{im}(x^i) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$, this above condition can be written as the maximum k such that:

$$\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1 \subset x^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v) \cap (\mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v).$$

Since $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ is clearly contained in the right hand side, and that $F_1 \subseteq \ker(x) \subseteq x^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$, we can reduce this condition to:

$$F_1 \subset \ker(x) \cap (\mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v).$$

□

We can actually be explicit regarding what the maximal k in Lemma 4.11 is. Again, let m be the maximal i such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ or $\beta_i \neq 0$ as in Definition 4.5. Since $F_1 \subseteq \ker(x) \cap \mathrm{im}(x^{\lambda_m - 1})$, we clearly have that $k \geq \lambda_m$.

Definition 4.12. For m as above, define the following (possibly empty) sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\alpha_m &:= \{i \in \Gamma_m \mid \alpha_j = \alpha_m \neq 0, \quad \forall\, i \leq j \leq \max \Gamma_m\}, \\ \Gamma^\beta_m &:= \{i \in \Gamma_m \mid \beta_j = 0, \quad \forall\, i \leq j \leq \max \Gamma_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define also $m^\alpha = \min \Gamma^\alpha_m$, $m^\beta = \min \Gamma^\beta_m$ if those sets are nonempty.

Proposition 4.13. *Suppose k is maximal such that $F_1 \subset \ker(x) \cap (\mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v)$, m as above and m^α, m^β as in Definition 4.12. Then*

$$k = \begin{cases} \lambda_m & \text{if} \quad \Gamma^\alpha_m = \varnothing \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma^\beta_m = \varnothing; \\ \mu_m + \nu_{m'-1} & \text{if} \quad \Gamma^\alpha_m \neq \varnothing \neq \Gamma^\beta_m \quad \text{where} \quad m' = \max\{m^\alpha, m^\beta\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have already remarked that $F_1 \subset \mathrm{im}(x^{\lambda_m - 1})$, hence $k \geq \lambda_m$. Suppose $k > \lambda_m$. Then the vectors $v_{i,1}$ and v^*_{i,λ_i} for $i \in \Lambda_m$ lie outside $\mathrm{im}(x^{k-1})$ and so therefore must be obtained by adding the subspace $\mathbb{C}[x]v$. However, it is easily seen that the vectors v^*_{i,λ_i} for $i \in \Lambda_m$ cannot be obtained by adding $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ and so $\beta_i = 0$ for all $i \in \Lambda_m$ which implies that $\Gamma^\beta_m \neq \varnothing$. Moreover, the only vector contained in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ that is relevant to our discussion is $\sum_{i \leq \max \Gamma_m} v_{i,\mu_i - \mu_m + 1}$. Notice that, since $v_{\max \Gamma_m, 1} \notin \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1})$, if $\alpha_{\max \Gamma_m} = 0$, then $v_1 \notin \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}(\sum_{i \leq \max \Gamma_m} v_{i,\mu_i - \mu_m + 1})$

for any k, which is impossible. Therefore $\alpha_{\max\Gamma_m} \neq 0$, which implies that $m = \max\Gamma_m$ and $\Gamma_m^\alpha \neq \varnothing$. Write

$$v_1 = v' + v'' + v'''$$

where

$$v' = \sum_{i\in\Gamma_m^\alpha} \alpha_i v_{i,1}; \qquad v'' = \sum_{i<m^\alpha} \alpha_i v_{i,1}; \qquad v''' = \sum_{i<m^\beta} \beta_i v^*_{i,\lambda_i}.$$

By the way they are defined, we have $v_1 \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$ if and only if $v', v'', v''' \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$. Notice that by definition of Γ_m^α, since all the coefficients for the corresponding indices are the same, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} v' &= \sum_{i\in\Gamma_m^\alpha} \alpha_i v_{i,1} = \sum_{i\in\Gamma_m^\alpha} \alpha v_{i,1} \\ &= \alpha\left(\sum_{i\leq\max\Gamma_m} v_{i,\mu_i-\mu_m+1}\right) - \alpha\left(\sum_{i<m^\alpha} v_{i,\mu_i-\mu_m+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We then have $v' \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$ if and only if $v_{i,\mu_i-\mu_m+1} \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1})$ for all $i < m^\alpha$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} k - 1 &\leq \lambda_i - (\mu_i - \mu_m + 1) \\ k &\leq \mu_i + \nu_i - \mu_i + \mu_m \\ k &\leq \mu_m + \nu_i. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $v'' \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$ if and only if $v'' \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1})$ if and only if $k \leq \lambda_i$ for all $i < m^\alpha$. Clearly this condition is redundant, since for all $i < m^\alpha$ we have $\mu_m + \nu_i \leq \mu_i + \nu_i = \lambda_i$. Finally, $v''' \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$ if and only if $v''' \in \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1})$ if and only if $k \leq \lambda_i$ for all $i < m^\beta$. Putting the conditions together, we get indeed that $k \leq \mu_m + \nu_i$ where i is maximal such that $i < m^\alpha$ or $i < m^\beta$, which proves the proposition. □

Remark 4.14. Notice that in the second case of Proposition 4.13, we always have $m' > 1$. This is because if $m' = 1$, then $m^\alpha = m^\beta = 1$, which means that $v_1 = \sum_{i\in\Gamma_m} \alpha v_{i,1} \in \mathbb{C}[x]v$ but we have assumed $F_1 \not\subset \mathbb{C}[x]v$. Also note that it is possible that $\mu_m + \nu_{m'-1}$ can be equal to λ_m for certain partitions μ and ν.

Example 4.15. Consider the bipartition $(\mu, \nu) = ((4, 2^5, 1), (3, 2, 1^2))$, so that $\lambda = (7, 4, 3^2, 2^2, 1)$. We may picture this below:



In this case, $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ is 4-dimensional, being the span of the vertical sum of boxes to the left of the wall on the top half of the diagram. Suppose

$$v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{1,1} + \alpha_2 v_{2,1} + \alpha_3 v_{3,1} + \alpha_4 v_{4,1} + \alpha_5 v_{5,1} + \alpha_6 v_{6,1} + \beta_6 v^*_{6,2} + \beta_5 v^*_{5,2} + \beta_4 v^*_{4,3} + \beta_3 v^*_{3,3} + \beta_2 v^*_{4,4} + \beta_1 v^*_{1,7},$$

with $\alpha_6 \neq 0$ or $\beta_6 \neq 0$. Observe that by Remark 4.7, since $F_1 \subseteq (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp$, we require $\beta_5+\beta_6 = 0$. In this case we have $m = 6$ with $\lambda_m = \mu_m = 2$; $\Gamma_m = \{2,3,4,5,6\}$, $\Lambda_m = \{5,6\}$ and $\Delta_m = \{5,6,7\}$. Therefore we have

$$F_1 \subset \begin{cases} \mathrm{im}(x^4) + \mathbb{C}[x]v & \text{if} \quad \alpha_2 = \ldots = \alpha_6 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_6 = 0, \\ \mathrm{im}(x^3) + \mathbb{C}[x]v & \text{if} \quad \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \alpha_6 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = 0, \\ \mathrm{im}(x^2) + \mathbb{C}[x]v & \text{if} \quad \alpha_5 = \alpha_6 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_5 = \beta_6 = 0, \\ \mathrm{im}(x) + \mathbb{C}[x]v & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the maximal k such that $V_1 \subset \mathrm{im}(x^{k-1}) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$ is:

$$k = \begin{cases} 5 (= \mu_6 + \nu_1) & \text{if} \quad \alpha_2 = \ldots = \alpha_6 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_6 = 0, \\ 4 (= \mu_6 + \nu_2) & \text{if} \quad \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \alpha_6 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = 0, \\ 3 (= \mu_6 + \nu_4) & \text{if} \quad \alpha_5 = \alpha_6 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_5 = \beta_6 = 0, \\ 2 (= \lambda_6) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4.4. The Jordan Type of x on $F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$: maximal l.

Lemma 4.16. *Let l be a non-negative integer with $l \leq \lambda_m$. Then*

$$(x^l)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1) = (x^l)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v) + (x^l)^{-1}(F_1).$$

Proof. It is clear that $(x^l)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1) \supseteq (x^l)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v) + (x^l)^{-1}(F_1)$, so we prove the reverse inclusion. Suppose $w \in V$ such that $x^l(w) \in \mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1$. Since an obvious basis for $\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1$ is $\{v, x(v), \ldots x^{\mu_1-1}(v), v_1\}$, we may then write

$$x^l(w) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu_1-1} a_i x^i v + b v_1,$$

for $a_i, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $l \leq \lambda_{m-1}$ we have $F_1 \subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^l) \subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^{\lambda_m-1})$ and so there exists a $y \in V$ such that $x^l(y) = bv_1$. We then find that $x^l(w-y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu_1-1} a_i x^i v$ and so $w - y \in (x^l)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$, hence

$$w = y - (y - w) \in (x^l)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v) + (x^l)^{-1}(F_1),$$

and we are done. □

The space $F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$ is a codimension 1 subspace of $F/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$ and so we can use Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.17. *The Jordan type of x on $F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$ is determined by the maximal l such that $F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$. It is then obtained* $\mathrm{Type}(x, V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1))$ *by removing the last box at the bottom of the l-th column.*

Proof. We first note that the condition $F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ is equivalent to $F_1 \subseteq x^{l-1}((\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp)$ and since $F_1 \subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^{\lambda_m-1})$ but $F_1 \not\subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^{\lambda_m})$, we have $l - 1 \leq \lambda_m$. By Lemma 4.2 we require the maximal l such that

$$F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1) \supseteq \mathrm{im}(x_{|V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1)}) + \ker(x^{l-1}_{|V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1)}).$$

Translating this back to conditions on F_1 we calculate,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{im}(x_{|V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1)}) &= \mathrm{im}(x) + (\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1) \quad \text{and} \\ \ker(x^{l-1}_{|V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v+F_1)}) &= (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we require $F_1^\perp \supseteq \mathrm{im}(x) + (\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1) + (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$. Since $F_1^\perp$ always contains $\mathrm{im}(x)$ and $\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1$ is clearly contained in $(x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)$, the essential requirement is:

$$F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1).$$

But by Lemma 4.16 and the fact that $x^{l-1}(F_1) \subseteq F_1^\perp$, this condition simplifies to

$$F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v).$$

□

Recall that m is defined to be the largest index such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ or $\beta_i \neq 0$. Let $m'' = \max \Delta_m + 1$ be the smallest integer such that $m'' > m$ and $\nu_{m''} < \nu_m$.

Proposition 4.18. *The maximal l such that $F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ is*

$$l = \begin{cases} \mu_{m''} + \nu_m & \text{if } \max \Gamma_m \leq \max \Delta_m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta_m \\ i \leq m}} \beta_i \neq 0, \\ \lambda_m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first note that by definition of m and Proposition 4.8, $F_1 \subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^{\lambda_m - 1})$ but $F_1 \not\subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^{\lambda_m})$. Equivalently, we have $F_1^\perp \supseteq \ker(x^{\lambda_m - 1})$ but $F_1^\perp \not\supseteq \ker(x^{\lambda_m})$. Since $\ker(x^{\lambda_m})$ is clearly contained in $(x^{\lambda_m})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ we deduce that l can be at most λ_m.

Suppose first that $\max \Gamma_m > \max \Delta_m$. Then it is easy to see that the only vectors that have non-zero image in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ under some power of x are already contained in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ up to some vector in the kernel of x raised to that power. In this case we have $F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ if and only if $F_1^\perp \supseteq \ker(x^{l-1})$; therefore $l = \lambda_m$. So we suppose from now on that $\max \Gamma_m \leq \max \Delta_m$.

Suppose that $l < \lambda_m$. Then there must be a vector w' in $(x^l)^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v) \setminus \ker(x^l)$ which is not contained in $F_1^\perp$. Define:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{m''-1} v_{i,\mu_i + \nu_m}$$

(noting that $m'' - 1 = \max \Delta_m$). Then it follows that $w' - w \in F_1^\perp$, by looking at the rightmost column of boxes on which the vector w is supported. In this case we have w is contained in $(x^{\mu_{m''} + \nu_m})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ since

$$x^{\mu_{m''} + \nu_m}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{m''-1} v_{i,\mu_i - \mu_{m''}} = x^{\mu_{m''}}(v) \in \mathbb{C}[x]v,$$

and we compute

$$\langle w, v_1 \rangle = \sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta_m \\ i \leq m}} \beta_i.$$

Therefore, w is an element of $F_1^\perp$ if and only if $\sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta_m \\ i \leq m}} \beta_i = 0$. Thus if $\sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta_m \\ i \leq m}} \beta_i \neq 0$, then $F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{\mu_{m''} + \nu_m - 1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ but $F_1^\perp \not\supseteq (x^{\mu_{m''} + \nu_m})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ and so the maximal l in this case is $\mu_{m''} + \nu_m$.

□

Remark 4.19. Note that it is possible for $\mu_{m''}$ to be 0 in Proposition 4.18, for example this is the case when $\ell(\mu) < m''$. But the key point here is that $m'' = \max \Delta_m + 1$ and so the first value of l in Proposition 4.18 can be written as $\mu_{\max \Delta_m + 1} + \nu_{\max \Delta_m}$, which is indeed a part of the partition ρ.

We finish this section with two examples which illustrate the condition on the coefficients of v_1.

Example 4.20. Consider the bipartition $(\mu, \nu) = ((2^3, 1), (2^2, 1))$.



Let $v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{11} + \alpha_2 v_{21} + \beta_2 v_{24}^* + \beta_1 v_{14}^*$ and put $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$. Here, the only vectors that have non-zero image in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ are $u := v_{13} + v_{23} + v_{33} (\in x^{-2}(\mathbb{C}[x]v))$, or are already contained in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$. Now u is clearly contained in $F_1^\perp$, so in this case, the maximal l such that $F_1^\perp \supseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ coincides with the maximal l such that $F_1^\perp \supseteq \ker(x^{l-1})$. Therefore we can easily see that $F_1^\perp \supseteq \ker(x^3)$ but $F_1^\perp \not\supseteq \ker(x^4) = V$. Hence the maximal l in this case is 4 and there is no restriction on the coefficients of v_1.

Moreover, we can see that $F_1 \subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^3) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$ but $F_1 \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]v$, and so the k as in Proposition 4.13 is 4 in this case. Therefore $\mathrm{eType}(v + F_1, x_{|F_1^\perp/F_1}) = ((2^3, 1), (2, 1^2))$ and is obtained by deleting the box from the bottom of the second column on the right of the wall.

Example 4.21. By contrast to Example 4.20 where there were no conditions on the coefficients on v_1, consider the bipartition $((2^2, 1), (2^2))$.



Again let $v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{11} + \alpha_2 v_{21} + \beta_2 v_{24}^* + \beta_1 v_{14}^*$. We first observe that $F_1 \subseteq \mathrm{im}(x^3) + \mathbb{C}[x]v$ but $F_1 \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]v$ and so the k as in Proposition 4.13 is again $k = 4$.

The key difference from Example 4.20 is that we do have vectors not already contained in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ that have non-zero image in $\mathbb{C}[x]v$ - for example $x^2(v_{13} + v_{23}) = x^3(v_{14} + v_{24}) = v_{11} + v_{21} = x(v)$. Now $v_{14} + v_{24} \in F_1^\perp$ if and only if $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0$ and so the maximal l such that $F_1^\perp \subseteq (x^{l-1})^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]v)$ is $l = 4$ if $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0$ and $l = 3$ if $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \neq 0$. We therefore have

$$\mathrm{eType}(v + F_1, x_{|F_1^\perp/F_1}) = \begin{cases} ((2, 1^2), (2^2)) & \text{if} \quad \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0, \\ ((2^2, 1), (2, 1)) & \text{if} \quad \beta_1 + \beta_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Here, the first case corresponds to deleting the box from the left hand side of the wall and the second case corresponds to deleting a box from the right hand side of the wall.

5. Proof of Proposition 3.2 and the Main Theorem

We can now put together all the results about Jordan types to prove Proposition 3.2. Fix $(v, x) \in \mathfrak{N}$, with $\mathrm{eType}(v, x) = (\mu, \nu)$. Let $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1 \in \mathbb{P}(\ker(x) \cap (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp)$ such that

$$\mathrm{eType}(v + F_1, x|_{F_1^\perp/F_1}) = (\mu', \nu')$$

where (μ', ν') is obtained from (μ, ν) by removing a box in row $\overline{m}$, where $\lambda_{\overline{m}} > \lambda_{\overline{m}+1}$. We know by Proposition 4.8 that we have

$$v_1 = \sum_{i \leq \overline{m}} \alpha_i v_{i,1} + \sum_{i \leq \overline{m}} \beta_i v^*_{i,\lambda_i}$$

where $\max\{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_i \neq 0\} = m$ with $\lambda_m = \lambda_{\overline{m}}$. It follows that $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu')} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$, where we take $[\alpha_1 : \ldots : \alpha_{\overline{m}} : \beta_1 : \ldots : \beta_{\overline{m}}]$ to be the homogeneous coordinates for $\mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$. Using this inclusion, we will describe $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu')}$ by giving conditions on the α_i's and β_i's and therefore show that it is irreducible and has the required dimension. We examine different cases.

5.1. **The case $\nu = \nu'$.** We assume now μ' is obtained by removing a box from row $\overline{m}$ in μ, while $\nu = \nu'$. In particular this implies that $\mu_{\overline{m}} > \mu_{\overline{m}+1} \geq 0$ and that $\overline{m} = \max \Gamma_m \leq \max \Delta_m$.

If $\overline{m} > 1$, by Theorem 3.3 we then must have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &= \text{Type}(x, F_1^{\perp}/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1) \\ &= (\mu'_1 + \nu_1, \mu'_2 + \nu_1, \mu'_2 + \nu_2, \ldots) \\ &= (\mu_1 + \nu_1, \ldots, \mu_{\overline{m}-1} + \nu_{\overline{m}-1}, \mu_{\overline{m}} - 1 + \nu_{\overline{m}-1}, \mu_{\overline{m}} - 1 + \nu_{\overline{m}}, \mu_{\overline{m}+1} + \nu_{\overline{m}}, \ldots).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to $\text{Type}(x, V/\mathbb{C}[x]v) = \rho$, we notice that σ is obtained from ρ by reducing by 1 the parts $\rho_{2\overline{m}-2} = \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_{\overline{m}-1}$ and $\rho_{2\overline{m}-1} = \lambda_{\overline{m}} = \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_{\overline{m}}$.

Notice that if $\overline{m} = 1$, then again by Theorem 3.3 we get that σ is obtained from ρ by reducing by 1 the part $\rho_1 = \lambda_1 = \mu_1 + \nu_1$, while all the other parts stay the same. Observe that in either case

$$\begin{aligned}(4) \quad b(\mu, \nu) - b(\mu', \nu) &= 2N(\mu) + 2N(\nu) + |\nu| - 2N(\mu') - 2N(\nu) - |\nu| = \\ &= 2(N(\mu) - N(\mu')) = 2(\overline{m} - 1) = 2\overline{m} - 2.\end{aligned}$$

We now divide this case into three subcases: $\overline{m} = 1$, $\overline{m} > 1$ and $\nu_{\overline{m}-1} > \nu_{\overline{m}}$, $\overline{m} > 1$ and $\nu_{\overline{m}-1} = \nu_{\overline{m}}$.

5.1.1. $\overline{m} = 1$. In this case, since $|\sigma| = |\rho| - 1$, the only possibility is that $F_1 \subset \mathbb{C}[x]v$, so that $\text{Type}(x, V/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1)) = \text{Type}(x, V/\mathbb{C}[x]v) = \rho$ and $\text{Type}(x, F_1^{\perp}/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1))$ is obtained from ρ by removing a box in row $l = \lambda_1$, as in Proposition 4.18. Since $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$ with $v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{1,1} + \beta_1 v^*_{1,\lambda_1}$ and $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x]v$, we have necessarily $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. It then follows from Proposition 4.18 that $l = \lambda_1$ without any other assumptions (this is because $\sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta_m \\ i \leq m}} \beta_i = \beta_1 = 0$). In this case, then we have

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu)} = \{\mathbb{C}v_{1,1}\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\ker(x) \cap (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^{\perp})$$

is a single point, which is indeed irreducible of dimension $2\overline{m} - 2 = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 = 0$.

For the rest of Section 5.1, we assume that $\overline{m} > 1$, which implies that $|\sigma| = |\rho| - 2$, hence $F_1 \not\subset \mathbb{C}[x]v$.

5.1.2. $\nu_{\overline{m}-1} > \nu_{\overline{m}}$. If $\nu_{\overline{m}-1} > \nu_{\overline{m}}$, then we have $\Lambda_m = \{\overline{m}\}$ (since $\mu_{\overline{m}} > \mu_{\overline{m}+1}$), and $m = \overline{m}$. Notice now that in Proposition 4.18 we cannot have $l = \mu_{m''} + \nu_m$ because $m'' = \max \Delta_m + 1 \geq \overline{m} + 1$, hence $\mu_{m''} + \nu_m \leq \mu_{\overline{m}+1} + \nu_m < \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_m$, and it then follows that the part of ρ of size l would not be $\rho_{2\overline{m}-2}$ nor $\rho_{2\overline{m}-1}$, which is impossible. Hence we have to have $l = \lambda_{\overline{m}} = \rho_{2\overline{m}-1}$, which implies by Proposition 4.18 that either $\nu_{\overline{m}} = 0$ (in which case $\beta_{\overline{m}} = 0$ by Remark 4.7) or

$\nu_{\overline{m}} \neq 0$ and $\sum_{\substack{i\in\Delta_m\\ i\leq m}} \beta_i = 0$ which is the same as $\beta_{\overline{m}} = 0$. Since $\beta_{\overline{m}} = 0$, we have $\alpha_{\overline{m}} \neq 0$, hence $\Gamma^\alpha_m \neq \varnothing \neq \Gamma^\beta_m$ and in Proposition 4.13 we obtain $k = \mu_m + \nu_{m'-1}$. Since the only possibility is that $k = \rho_{2\overline{m}-2} = \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_{\overline{m}-1}$, we get that $\nu_{m'-1} = \nu_{\overline{m}-1}$. Clearly $m' \leq \overline{m}$ because $\beta_{\overline{m}} = 0$ and $\alpha_{\overline{m}} \neq 0$, thus $\nu_{m'-1} \geq \nu_{\overline{m}-1}$. Now, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$ be the set of possible choices of the v_1 such that $\nu_{m'-1} > \nu_{\overline{m}-1}$. Then in terms of the homogeneous coordinates, X is defined by the equations

$$\alpha_{\overline{m}} = \alpha_{\overline{m}-1} = \ldots = \alpha_{m'} \text{ and } 0 = \beta_{\overline{m}} = \beta_{\overline{m}-1} = \ldots = \beta_{m'}$$

with $m' \leq \min \Delta_{\overline{m}-1}$. It is clear that this forms a closed subset of positive codimension of the set of all the v_1 such that $\beta_{\overline{m}} = 0$ and $\alpha_{\overline{m}} \neq 0$. In conclusion, $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu)}$ is an open subset of the set $Y = \{\beta_{\overline{m}} = 0;\ \alpha_{\overline{m}} \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$. Since Y is irreducible of dimension $2\overline{m} - 2$, so is $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu)}$.

5.1.3. $\nu_{\overline{m}-1} = \nu_{\overline{m}}$. In this case $\rho_{2\overline{m}-2} = \rho_{2\overline{m}-1} = \lambda_{\overline{m}}$, therefore, as in 5.1.2, we have $l = \lambda_{\overline{m}}$. By Proposition 4.18, there are then two possibilities. If $\nu_{\overline{m}} = \nu_{\overline{m}-1} = 0$, we have from Remark 4.7 that $\sum_{i\ |\ \nu_i=0,\ \mu_i>0} \beta_i = 0$. Otherwise, if $\nu_{\overline{m}} > 0$, we have $\sum_{\substack{i\in\Delta_m\\ i\leq m}} \beta_i = 0$. Now, our only choice for k is also $k = \lambda_{\overline{m}}$. By Proposition 4.13 this is true when $\alpha_{\overline{m}} = 0$ or $\beta_{\overline{m}} \neq 0$. If we are in the case $\alpha_{\overline{m}} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{\overline{m}} = 0$, we have $\Gamma^\alpha_m \neq \varnothing \neq \Gamma^\beta_m$, hence $k = \mu_m + \nu_{m'-1} = \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_{\overline{m}}$, from which necessarily $\nu_{m'-1} = \nu_{\overline{m}}$. As in 5.1.2, the set $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$ where $\nu_{m'-1} > \nu_{\overline{m}}$ is a closed subset of positive codimension of the set $Y = \{\mathbb{C}v_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1} \mid \sum_{i\in I} \beta_i = 0\}$ where

$$I = \begin{cases} \{i \in \Delta_m \mid i \leq m\} & \text{if } \nu_{\overline{m}} > 0; \\ \{i \mid \mu_i > 0,\ \nu_i = 0\} & \text{if } \nu_{\overline{m}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We also consider the set $Z = \{\mathbb{C}v_1 \in Y \mid \alpha_i = 0 = \beta_i,\ \forall i \in \Lambda_m\}$ which is also closed of positive codimension in Y. In conclusion, we have

$$Y \setminus (X \cup Z) \subset \mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu)} \subset Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-2},$$

from which $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu',\nu)}$ is indeed irreducible of dimension $2\overline{m} - 2$.

5.2. **The case $\mu = \mu'$.** We assume now ν' is obtained by removing a box from row $\overline{m}$ in ν, while $\mu = \mu'$. In particular this implies that $\nu_{\overline{m}} > \nu_{\overline{m}+1} \geq 0$ and that $\overline{m} = \max \Delta_m \leq \max \Gamma_m$. By Theorem 3.3 we then must have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \mathrm{Type}(x, F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1) \\ &= (\mu_1 + \nu'_1, \mu_2 + \nu'_1, \mu_2 + \nu'_2, \ldots) \\ &= (\mu_1 + \nu_1, \ldots, \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_{\overline{m}-1}, \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_{\overline{m}} - 1, \mu_{\overline{m}+1} + \nu_{\overline{m}} - 1, \mu_{\overline{m}+1} + \nu_{\overline{m}+1}, \ldots). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to $\mathrm{Type}(x, V/\mathbb{C}[x]v) = \rho$, we notice that σ is obtained from ρ by reducing by 1 the parts $\rho_{2\overline{m}-1} = \lambda_{\overline{m}} = \mu_{\overline{m}} + \nu_{\overline{m}}$ and $\rho_{2\overline{m}} = \mu_{\overline{m}+1} + \nu_{\overline{m}}$. In particular, since $|\sigma| = |\rho| - 2$, we have $F_1 \not\subset \mathbb{C}[x]v$. Observe that in this case

$$\begin{aligned} (5)\quad b(\mu,\nu) - b(\mu,\nu') = 2N(\mu) + 2N(\nu) + |\nu| - 2N(\mu) - 2N(\nu') - |\nu'| = \\ = 2(N(\nu) - N(\nu')) + 1 = 2\overline{m} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We now divide this case into two subcases: $\mu_{\overline{m}} > \mu_{\overline{m}+1}$ and $\mu_{\overline{m}} = \mu_{\overline{m}+1}$.

5.2.1. $\mu_{\overline{m}} = \mu_{\overline{m}+1}$. In this case we have that $\max\Gamma_m \geq \overline{m}+1 > \max\Delta_m$. Notice that this implies that $\Gamma_m^\alpha = \varnothing$, because $\alpha_{\max\Gamma_m} = \alpha_{\overline{m}+1} = 0$, hence by Proposition 4.13, we have $k = \lambda_{\overline{m}}$. Also, since either $\mu_{\overline{m}} = 0$ or $\max\Gamma_m > \max\Delta_m$, by Proposition 4.18 we get $l = \lambda_{\overline{m}}$. Notice that in this situation, $\rho_{2\overline{m}-1} = \rho_{2\overline{m}} = \lambda_{\overline{m}} = k = l$. If, as in 5.1.3, we take

$$Z = \{\mathbb{C}v_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1} \mid \alpha_i = 0 = \beta_i,\ \forall i \in \Lambda_m\},$$

which is a closed subset of positive codimension of $\mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$, we then get $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu,\nu')} = \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1} \setminus Z$ is indeed irreducible of dimension $2\overline{m}-1$.

5.2.2. $\mu_{\overline{m}} > \mu_{\overline{m}+1}$. In this case we have that $\overline{m} = \max\Gamma_m = \max\Delta_m$ and $m'' = \max\Delta_m + 1 = \overline{m}+1$. Notice that since $\rho_{2\overline{m}-1} = \lambda_{\overline{m}} > \rho_{2\overline{m}} = \mu_{\overline{m}+1} + \nu_{\overline{m}}$, we have to have $k = \rho_{2\overline{m}-1} = \lambda_{\overline{m}}$ and $l = \rho_{2\overline{m}} = \mu_{m''} + \nu_{\overline{m}}$. The condition on l, by Proposition 4.18, implies that $\sum_{\substack{i\in\Delta_m\\ i\leq m}} \beta_i \neq 0$. Using Proposition 4.13, the condition on k implies that one of the following is true: $\Gamma_m^\alpha = \varnothing$ (i.e. $\alpha_{\overline{m}} = 0$), or $\Gamma_m^\beta = \varnothing$ (i.e. $\beta_{\overline{m}} \neq 0$), or $\Gamma_m^\alpha \neq \varnothing \neq \Gamma_m^\beta$ and $\nu_{m'-1} = \nu_{\overline{m}}$. Now, if we let

$$Y = \{\mathbb{C}v_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1} \mid \sum_{\substack{i\in\Delta_m\\ i\leq m}} \beta_i \neq 0,\ \text{and } \beta_{\overline{m}} \neq 0\},$$

then Y is an open irreducible subset of $\mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$. Since we have $Y \subset \mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu,\nu')} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2\overline{m}-1}$, we obtain again that $\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\nu)}_{(\mu,\nu')}$ is irreducible of dimension $2\overline{m}-1$.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.2 and hence of Proposition 3.1.

Remark 5.1. Notice that in all these cases, we have that $\mathrm{eType}(v+F_1, x|_{F_1^\perp/F_1})$ is not obtained from $\mathrm{eType}(v,x) = (\mu,\nu)$ by removing a box on either side if and only if, given m and $\overline{m}$ as defined before, we have that $\sigma = \mathrm{Type}(x, F_1^\perp/(\mathbb{C}[x]v + F_1))$ is not obtained from ρ by reducing by 1 either parts $\rho_{2\overline{m}-2}$ and $\rho_{2\overline{m}-1}$ or parts $\rho_{2\overline{m}-1}$ and $\rho_{2\overline{m}}$. It is then clear from the previous arguments in this section that, in all the cases, the set of 'bad' lines $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$, such that the Jordan type is not what we expect, is a positive codimension subvariety. It is also clear that this subvariety is in the closure of the set of 'good' lines coming from one step of a nested sequence of bipartitions. Arguing inductively as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we then obtain that if $F_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$ is such that at any point the sequence $\Phi(F_\bullet)$ is not nested, then $F_\bullet$ lies in a lower dimensional subvariety which is in the closure of $\Phi^{-1}(T)$ for some T.

Example 5.2. To illustrate Remark 5.1, let us revisit the situation of Example 2.13. We have $n = 2$, and consider the bipartition $(\mu,\nu) = ((1,1),\varnothing) = \left(\ydiagram{1,1}, \varnothing\right)$. We represent the normal basis as

We have $v = v_{11} + v_{21}$. We can describe the exotic fibre by noting that if $F_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$, then $F_\bullet$ is determined by the choice of F_1 and F_2, with $F_1 \in \mathbb{P}(\ker(x) \cap (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp)$ and $v \in F_2 \subset (\mathbb{C}v)^\perp$, so $F_2 \in \mathbb{P}((\mathbb{C}v)^\perp/\mathbb{C}v)$. We then have an inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} \subset \mathbb{P}(\ker(x) \cap (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp) \times \mathbb{P}((\mathbb{C}v)^\perp/\mathbb{C}v)$. We can write $F_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$, with $v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{11} + \alpha_2 v_{21} + \beta_2 v_{21}^* + \beta_1 v_{11}^*$, with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0$, so we have in fact

$$v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{11} + \alpha_2 v_{21} + \beta_1(v_{11}^* - v_{21}^*).$$

We take $[\alpha_1 : \alpha_2 : \beta_1]$ to be homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}(\ker(x) \cap (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp)$. We can also write $F_2 = \mathbb{C}\{v_{11} + v_{12}, \gamma_1 v_{11} + \delta_1(v_{11}^* - v_{21}^*)\}$ and we take $[\gamma_1 : \delta_1]$ to be homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}((\mathbb{C}v)^\perp/\mathbb{C}v)$. The condition that $F_1 \subset F_2$ is then expressed in terms of the homogeneous coordinates as $\delta_1(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = \gamma_1\beta_1$.

In summary, we have an isomorphism of varieties

$$\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} \simeq \{[\alpha_1 : \alpha_2 : \beta_1] \times [\gamma_1 : \delta_1] \mid \delta_1(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = \gamma_1\beta_1\}.$$

Notice that we have

$$\Phi^1(F_\bullet) = \mathrm{eType}\left(v + F_1, x|_{F_1^\perp/F_1}\right) = \begin{cases} (\square, \varnothing) & \text{if } v \notin F_1, \\ (\varnothing, \square) & \text{if } v \in F_1. \end{cases}$$

So the sequence $\Phi(F_\bullet) = (\Phi^2(F_\bullet), \Phi^1(F_\bullet), \Phi^0(F_\bullet))$ is not nested if and only if $v \in F_1$, if and only if $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, which was the case in Example 2.13. It is then clear that this set of 'bad flags' is a closed set of dimension 1 inside the irreducible 2-dimensional exotic fibre $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} = \mathcal{C}^T_{(v,x)}$, with $T = \left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \varnothing\right)$. It is also easy to see that the set of bad flags is in the closure of $\Phi^{-1}(T)$, because

$$[\alpha_1 : \alpha_1 : 0] \times [\gamma_1 : 0] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} [\alpha_1 : \varepsilon + \alpha_1 : 0] \times [\gamma_1 : 0],$$

and if $\delta_1 \neq 0$, then

$$[\alpha_1 : \alpha_1 : 0] \times [\gamma_1 : \delta_1] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[\alpha_1 : \alpha_1 - \varepsilon\frac{\gamma_1}{\delta_1} : \varepsilon\beta_1\right] \times [\gamma_1 : \delta_1].$$

The main Theorem now follows:

Proof of Theorem 2.12. By Proposition 3.1, $\Phi^{-1}(T)$ is irreducible, therefore its closure $\overline{\Phi^{-1}(T)}$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$. For any two standard bitableaux $T \neq T'$, we have $\Phi^{-1}(T) \cap \Phi^{-1}(T') = \varnothing$ hence, since $\Phi^{-1}(T)$ and $\Phi^{-1}(T')$ have the same dimension $(b(\mu, \nu))$, it follows that $\overline{\Phi^{-1}(T)} \neq \overline{\Phi^{-1}(T')}$. Finally, by Remark 5.1 we have that

$$\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} = \bigcup_T \overline{\Phi^{-1}(T)}$$

which means that these are indeed all the irreducible components. □

6. Exotic Type C RS-correspondence

The classical Robinson-Schensted correspondence, which is a bijection between the symmetric group S_n and pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape, has a geometric interpretation due to Spaltenstein and Steinberg (see [Spa76], [Ste88]). Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, with Borel subgroup B, and let $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be the nilpotent cone, the variety of all nilpotent $n \times n$ matrices. We have the Springer resolution of singularities

$$p : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N}; \qquad (F_\bullet, x) \mapsto x$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{(F_\bullet, x) \in G/B \times \mathcal{N} \mid x(F_i) \subset F_{i-1},\ \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then, we define the Steinberg variety

$$Z = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{(F, F', x) \in G/B \times G/B \times \mathcal{N} \mid F, F' \in p^{-1}(x)\}.$$

Following [Spa76] and [Ste88], the irreducible components of Z can be parametrized in two ways. The first is by taking closures of the pre-images of the G-orbits on $G/B \times G/B$ under

the projection $Z \twoheadrightarrow G/B \times G/B$; the parametrizing set is the Weyl group S_n. The second way is as follows: given two standard tableaux T, T' of shape λ define $Z_{T,T'}$ be the set of all triples with x a nilpotent of Jordan type λ, $(\mathrm{Type}(x, F_i))_{i=1}^n = T$, $(\mathrm{Type}(x, F'))_{i=1}^n = T'$ (notice that the Jordan types of x restricted to the spaces in the flag give an increasing sequence of partitions, which is the same thing as a standard tableau). Then the closures $\overline{Z_{T,T'}}$ comprise the irreducible components, and Spaltenstein and Steinberg independently showed that passing from one paramentrization to the other is exactly given by the Robinson-Schensted correspondence.

Let us now describe the exotic analogue of this construction. Recall the resolution map $\pi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{N}} \to \mathfrak{N}$ with fibres $\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$, and that the orbits of the Sp_{2n}-action on $\mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(V)$ are in bijection with the Weyl group $W(C_n)$. Given $w \in W(C_n)$, denote by $\mathcal{O}_w$ the corresponding orbit in $\mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(V)$.

Definition 6.1. The *exotic Springer variety* is

$$\mathfrak{Z} := \widetilde{\mathfrak{N}} \times_{\mathfrak{N}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{N}} := \{(F_\bullet, F'_\bullet, (v, x)) \in \mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathfrak{N} \mid F_\bullet, F'_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}\}.$$

Lemma 6.2. [Kat09, Lemma 1.5] *Given $w \in W(C_n)$, define:*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_w = \{(F_\bullet, F'_\bullet, (v, x)) \in \mathfrak{Z} \mid (F_\bullet, F'_\bullet) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$$

Then the $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_w}$ constitute the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{Z}$, and have the same dimension $2n^2$.

We can also parametrize the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{Z}$ using tableaux combinatorics. For a bipartition (μ, ν), recall from Section 2 that $\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ is the corresponding orbit in the exotic nilpotent conee and that $\mathcal{T}(\mu, \nu)$ is the set of all standard bitableaux of shape (μ, ν).

Definition 6.3. For $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, let $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}(\mu, \nu)$ be two standard bitableaux, we define

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{T,T'}_{(\mu,\nu)} := \{(F_\bullet, F'_\bullet, (v, x)) \in \mathfrak{Z} \mid (v, x) \in \mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)},\ F_\bullet \in \Phi^{-1}(T),\ F'_\bullet \in \Phi^{-1}(T')\}.$$

Proposition 6.4. *The varieties $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}^{T,T'}_{(\mu,\nu)}}$, for all $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ and $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}(\mu, \nu)$ are all the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{Z}$ and they are all distinct.*

Proof. Since each orbit $\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ is irreducible and $\mathfrak{Z}^{T,T'}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ is a fibre bundle over $\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ with fibre $\Phi^{-1}(T) \times \Phi^{-1}(T')$ (which is irreducible by Proposition 3.2), indeed it is true that $\mathfrak{Z}^{T,T'}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathfrak{Z}$. In [Kat09], it is proved that $\dim(\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}) = 2(n^2 - b(\mu, \nu))$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathfrak{Z}^{T,T'}_{(\mu,\nu)} &= \dim(\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}) + \dim(\Phi^{-1}(T)) + \dim(\Phi^{-1}(T')) \\ &= 2(n^2 - b(\mu, \nu)) + b(\mu, \nu) + b(\mu, \nu) \\ &= 2n^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 6.2, the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{Z}$ have all dimension $2n^2$, then $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}^{T,T'}_{(\mu,\nu)}}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathfrak{Z}$, for all $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ and for all $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}(\mu, \nu)$. Now, the well known fact that $|W(C_n)| = 2^n n! = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in\mathcal{Q}_n} |\mathcal{T}(\mu, \nu)|^2$ concludes the proof that we have indeed identified all the irreducible components with no repetitions. □

By comparing the two parametrizations of irreducible components, we deduce the existence of an "exotic Robinson-Schensted correspondence":

Corollary 6.5. *There is a bijection:*

$$W(C_n) \longleftrightarrow \coprod_{(\mu,\nu)\in\mathcal{Q}_n} \mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)\times\mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)$$

defined geometrically by $w \leftrightarrow (T,T')$ *if and only if* $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_w} = \overline{\mathfrak{Z}^{T,T'}_{(\mu,\nu)}}$.

7. Further directions

7.1. **Combinatorially describing the exotic Robinson-Schensted correspondence.** It is tempting to conjecture that the geometric correspondence of Corollary 6.5 should be given by the Type C Robinson-Schensted algorithm, but in fact this is not the case; a full description will be given in the sequel to this paper.

If we identify the Weyl group $W(C_n)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr S_n$, we can write its elements as permutation words in the letters $1,2,\dots,n$ with some of the letters barred. The classical type C Robinson-Schensted Correspondence, uses the usual row bumping algorithm with the only difference being that the numbers with bars will be inserted in the second tableau of the bitableau and the number without bars will be inserted in the first tableau of the bitableau; see the below example for an illustration:

$$2\bar{6}\bar{3}18547 \longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 4&1\\\hline 5&2\\\hline 8\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\,\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 3&7\\\hline 6\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 5&1\\\hline 6&4\\\hline 7\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\,\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&8\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\right)\right)$$

We now describe the exotic Robinson-Schensted correspondence from Corollary 6.5 in the case of $n=2$, to point out that it is indeed different from the classical Type C case that we just explained. We let $s=\bar{1}2$ and $t=21$ be generators for the Weyl group $W(C_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
W(C_2) &\longleftrightarrow \coprod_{(\mu,\nu)\in\mathcal{Q}_2} \mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)\times\mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)\\
\mathrm{id}=12 &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&1\\\hline\end{array};-\right),\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&1\\\hline\end{array};-\right)\right)\\
s=\bar{1}2 &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array}\right)\right)\\
t=21 &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array}\right)\right)\\
st=\bar{2}1 &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array}\right)\right)\\
ts=2\bar{1} &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline\end{array};\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array}\right)\right)\\
sts=\bar{2}\bar{1} &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(-;\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline\end{array}\right),\left(-;\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline\end{array}\right)\right)\\
tst=1\bar{2} &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array};-\right),\left(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array};-\right)\right)\\
stst=\bar{1}\bar{2} &\longleftrightarrow \left(\left(-;\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\right),\left(-;\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We now illustrate our method for computing this geometric correspondence with a couple of examples. We will fix a point in the orbit of the exotic nilpotent given by a certain bipartition (μ,ν) and, for two given bitableaux T, T' we will find flags that are generic within the corresponding exotic Springer fibres. We will then obtain an element of the Weyl group by looking at the relative position of the two flags (i.e. the Sp_{2n} orbit passing through them). To compute the relative position of the two flags, we compute dimensions of the intersections of the spaces in the flags and we take advantage of the embedding $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ described at the beginning

of Section 2. This induces the following embedding $\iota : W(C_n) \hookrightarrow S_{2n}$. For $j = 1, \dots, n$, let $w_j = a_j$ or $w_j = \bar{a}_j$, with $a_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define then the embedding as

$$w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \mapsto \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \sigma_{n+1} \cdots \sigma_{2n}$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\sigma_i = \begin{cases} n + 1 - a_{n+1-i} & \text{if } w_i = a_i \\ n + a_i & \text{if } w_i = \bar{a}_i \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{2n+1-i} = 2n + 1 - \sigma_i.$$

If we think of S_{2n} as $2n \times 2n$ permutation matrices, then $\mathrm{Im}\,(\iota)$ is identified with the permutation matrices that are symmetric with respect to the center of the matrix. Another way of defining ι is labeling the column of the matrix left to right as $n(n-1)\cdots 1\bar{1}\bar{2}\cdots\bar{n}$ and same with the rows top to bottom. Then $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ describes the permutation given by the first n columns, and the other columns can be obtained by using the symmetry.

Example 7.1. Consider the generic orbit that corresponds to the tableau $(\boxed{2}\boxed{1}; -)$. Since the exotic Springer fibres consists of a single point in this case, the relative position between two generic points trivially corresponds to the identity permutation. Namely:

$$\mathrm{id} = 12 \longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\boxed{2}\boxed{1}; - \right), \left(\boxed{2}\boxed{1}; - \right) \right)$$

Example 7.2. Suppose $F_\bullet$ is a generic point in the fibre $\Phi^{-1}(\left(\boxed{1}, \boxed{2}\right))$. In this case the space V is pictured as follows:



with $v = v_{11}$. A generic point in $\ker(x) \cap (\mathbb{C}[x]v)^\perp$ has the form $v_1 = \alpha_1 v_{11} + \beta_1 v_{12}^*$ with $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Since in this case we must have $\mathrm{eType}(v + F_1, x_{|F_1^\perp/F_1}) = \left(\boxed{\ }; \varnothing\right)$, we require $\beta_1 \neq 0$. Since $v \in F_2$, a generic point in the fibre has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \mathbb{C}\{\alpha_1 v_{11} + \beta_1 v_{12}^*\}, \\ F_2 &= \mathbb{C}\{v_{11}, v_{12}^*\}, \\ F_3 &= \mathbb{C}\{v_{11}, v_{12}^*, \alpha_1 v_{12} + \beta_1 v_{11}^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F'_\bullet$ be another generic point in the fibre. We have the intersection and permutation matrices recording the relative position of $F_\bullet$ with respect to $F'_\bullet$:

	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4
F'_1	0	1	1	1
F'_2	1	2	2	2
F'_3	1	2	2	3
F'_4	1	2	3	4

$\rightsquigarrow$

	2	1	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$
2		1		
1	1			
$\bar{1}$				1
$\bar{2}$			1	

which yields the permutation $w(F_\bullet, F'_\bullet) = 21$. The permutation matrix (b_{ij}) is obtained from the intersection matrix (a_{ij}) by the formula: $b_{ij} = a_{i-1,j-1} + a_{ij} - a_{i-1,j} - a_{i,j-1}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n$. Therefore under the exotic RS correspondence, we have:

$$21 \longleftrightarrow \left(\left(\boxed{1}; \boxed{2} \right), \left(\boxed{1}; \boxed{2} \right) \right).$$

The other cases can be computed in a similar way.

7.2. **Canonical bases in irreducible Weyl group representations.** Given a bipartition $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, the top homology group $H^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)})$ carries an action of the Weyl group $W(C_n) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \wr S_n$, and realizes the irreducible representation indexed by that bipartition. This follows from Kato's results in [Kat09] and [Katb]. Now the classes of the irreducible components give a distinguished basis in the Weyl group representation. It would be interesting to study this basis, and determine whether it has properties that resemble the canonical bases introduced by Kazhdan and Lusztig in various contexts. In those papers, Kato also shows that the total homology $H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)})$ realizes the standard modules for certain multi-parameter Hecke algebras. It would be interesting to see if one can deduce any additional information about these modules using our results about the structure of exotic Springer fibres.

References

[AH08] Pramod N. Achar and Anthony Henderson. Orbit closures in the enhanced nilpotent cone. *Adv. Math.*, 219(1):27–62, 2008.

[AHS11] Pramod N. Achar, Anthony Henderson, and Eric Sommers. Pieces of nilpotent cones for classical groups. *Represent. Theory*, 15:584–616, 2011.

[CK11] Dan Ciubotaru and Syu Kato. Tempered modules in exotic Deligne-Langlands correspondence. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1538–1590, 2011.

[HT12] Anthony Henderson and Peter E. Trapa. The exotic Robinson-Schensted correspondence. *J. Algebra*, 370:32–45, 2012.

[Kata] Syu Kato. An algebraic study of extension algebras. arXiv:1207.4640.

[Katb] Syu Kato. An exotic Springer correspondence for symplectic groups. arXiv:math/0607478.

[Kat09] Syu Kato. An exotic Deligne-Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 148(2):305–371, 2009.

[Kat15] Syu Kato. A homological study of Green polynomials. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 48(5):1035–1074, 2015.

[Nan13] Vinoth Nandakumar. Equivariant coherent sheaves on the exotic nilpotent cone. *Represent. Theory*, 17:663–681, 2013.

[Pie04] Thomas Pietraho. Components of the Springer fiber and domino tableaux. *J. Algebra*, 272(2):711–729, 2004.

[Spa76] N. Spaltenstein. The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **79**=*Indag. Math.*, 38(5):452–456, 1976.

[Spa82] Nicolas Spaltenstein. *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, volume 946 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.

[SS14] T. Shoji and K. Sorlin. Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, II. *Transform. Groups*, 19(3):887–926, 2014.

[Ste88] Robert Steinberg. An occurrence of the Robinson-Schensted correspondence. *J. Algebra*, 113(2):523–528, 1988.

[Tra09] Roman Travkin. Mirabolic Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 14(3-4):727–758, 2009.

[vL] Marc A. A. van Leeuwen. A Robinson-Schensted algorithm in the geometry of flags for classical groups. Thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht, the Netherlands, 1989.

[vL00] Marc A. A. van Leeuwen. Flag varieties and interpretations of Young tableau algorithms. *J. Algebra*, 224(2):397–426, 2000.

V. Nandakumar: School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sidney
E-mail address: v.nandakumar@maths.usyd.edu.au

D. Rosso: Department of Mathematics, University of California Riverside
E-mail address: rosso@math.ucr.edu

N. Saunders: Department of Mathematics, City University of London
E-mail address: neil.saunders@city.ac.uk